Ex. A

OCUMENTS NEEDED" (from Relator's Ex. 1 "N	MISSING" Documents (from Relator's Ex.	Information on how to locate allegedly missing
the July 28, 2021 hearing)	to the July 28, 2021 hearing)	documents in the production.
NTRACT		
		The plan contracts between SilverScript and Caremark in effect in 2010 and 2011 were produced within the following bates ranges: CVS-BEHNKE-0002683 through CVS-BEHNKE-0002814; CVS-BEHNKE-1081158 through CVS-BEHNKE-1081184; and CVS-BEHNKE-1081190 through CVS-
n contracts between SilverScript and		BEHNKE-1081219.
reamark (2010-2016) Co	Contracts in effect in 2010 and 2011	
n contracts between Aetna and Caremark 111-2015)	Complete	Relator's Exhibit 1 to the July 28, 2021 discovery hearing does not identify any documents to be allegedly "missing" in connection with this item, and therefore no response is required.
armacy contracts between Caremark and S Pharmacy (2010-2016) AL	ALL contracts in effect 2010-2016	The provider agreement between Caremark and CVS Pharmacy in effect in 2010 was produced within the following bates ranges: CVS-BEHNKE-0000966 through CVS-BEHNKE-0000978.
armacy contracts between Caremark and	Contract in offset in 2010	The provider agreement between Caremark and Walgreen Co. in effect in 2010 was produced within the following bates range: CVS-BEHNKE-0001187 through CVS-BEHNKE-0001212.
armacy contracts between Caremark and	Contract in effect in 2010	Walgre within

5.		The provider agreement between Caremark and Rite-Aid in effect in 2010 was produced within the following bates range: CVS-BEHNKE-0001049
Pharmacy contracts between Caremark and		through CVS-BEHNKE-0001061.
RAD (2010-2016)	Contract in effect in 2010	-
PDE SUBMISSIONS		
6.		Relator's Exhibit 1 to the July 28, 2021 discovery hearing does not identify any documents to be
		allegedly "missing" in connection with this item, and therefore no response is required. We note, however, that SiverScript PDE records were
Annual PDE submissions to CMS for drugs		produced within the following Bates Range: CVS-
provided to Silverscript Medicare Part D Plan		BEHNKE-1827847 through CVS-BEHNKE-1827850.
beneficiaries (2010-2016)	Still under review	
Annual PDE submissions to CMS for drugs provided to Aetna Medicare Part D Plan		Relator's Exhibit 1 to the July 28, 2021 discovery hearing does not identify any documents to be allegedly "missing" in connection with this item, and therefore no response is required. We note, however, that Caremark did not submit PDEs for Aetna for relevant time period, and Relator was aware that Caremark would not produce them. See Letter from J. Clairmont dated April 8, 2021 ("For Aetna, Caremark will produce the claims data for the entire time period, but you do not have the actual PDE data for Aetna.")
beneficiaries (2011-2015)	Still under review	
SUMMARY DIRECT AND INDIRECT		

## 

0		
8.		Annual summary DIR reports provided by
		Caremark to SilverScript for 2010-2016 were
Annual Summary DIR Reports submitted by		produced within the following Bates Range: CVS-
Silverscript to CMS (2010-2016)	ALL Summary DIR Reports	BEHNKE-1817843 through CVS-BEHNKE-1817883.
9.		
		Annual summary DIR Reports submitted by Aetna
		to CMS for 2011-2014 were produced within the
Annual Summary DIR Reports submitted by		following Bates Range CVS-BEHNKE-1817886
Aetna to CMS (2011-2015)	Summary DIR Reports for 2011 and 2012	through CVS-BEHNKE-1818500.
BIDS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS		
10.		
10.	Sill need the bids and accompanying bid	
	substatiation documents for the following	
	Part D Plans for the listed years:	
		The documents identified as "missing" have not
	S5580: need 2016 (3 plans)	been produced. These documents relate to Part
	S5644: need 2010 (63 plans)	D Plans that were acquired by SilverScript, and
	S5678: need 2012 (66 plans)	years associated with those plans for which
Annual Bids submitted by SilverScript to CMS	S5803: need 2011 (68 Plans) and 2012 (70	documents are identified as "missing" are years
for each individual Part D Plan (and bid	Plans)	prior to when the plans in question were
substantiation materials) (2010-2016)	S5825: need 2011 (2 Plans)	consolidated into SilverScript.
11.		
		The documents identified as "missing" have not
Annual Bids submitted by Aetna to CMS for		been produced. Bid and bid substantiation
each individual Part D Plan (and bid	Still need a complete and final set of all	documents for Aetna are maintained by Aetna,
substantiation materials) (contract years 2011-	bids and substantiation documents for	not Caremark. Aetna expects to produce these
2014)	contract years 2011-2014	materials for 2011-2014 by August 25, 2021.
POINT OF SALE (POS) PRICING INFORMATION		

Prices paid by Caremark to pharmacies at POS	Still need POS prices paid by Caremark to	It is unclear what document(s) (if any) Relator contends to be missing. "POS prices paid by Caremark to pharmacies" is not a document. We note that data regarding point-of-sale adjudication of pharmacy claims for Aetna beneficiaries were produced at CVS-BEHNKE-1827855, and data regarding point-of-sale adjudication of pharmacy claims for SSIC beneficiaries were produced at CVS-BEHNKE-1827856 through CVS-BEHNKE-1827859. Such data includes, <i>inter alia</i> , data fields regarding pharmacy reimbursement.
CMS-GENERATED RECONCILIATIONS	priarriacies	priarriacy reimbarsement.
13.  CMS Year-end reconciliations with SilverScript		It is unclear what document(s) (if any) Relator contends to be missing, given that Relator states only that she "still need[s] a complete set." Relator did not seek such reconcilations from SSIC in their subpoena to SSIC. Relator sought such documents from Caremark in Request No. 55, to which Caremark objected as seeking documents outside Caremark's custody and control, and further responded that, after reasonable inquiry, Caremark did not believe that it possessed
(2010-2016)	Still need a complete set	responsive documents.

14.		It is unclear what document(s) (if any) Relator contends to be missing, given that Relator states only that she "still need[s] a complete set." Relator did not seek such reconcilations from Aetna in their subpoena to Aetna. Relator sought such documents from Caremark in Request No. 55, to which Caremark objected as seeking documents outside Caremark's custody and control, and further responded that, after
CMS Year-end reconciliations with Aetna		reasonable inquiry, Caremark did not believe that
(2011-2015)	Still need a complete set	it possessed responsive documents.
PHARMACY RECONCILIATIONS		
15.		The document identified as "missing" has not been produced. As we informed Relator during the meet-and-confer process, we do not believe
Annual Caremark reconciliations with Rite-Aid		any reconciliation with Rite-Aid ever existed for
(2010-2016)	2010	2010.
(2010 2010)	2010	2010.
16.		As we informed Relator during the meet-and-confer process, to the extent "annual reconciliation 'look-alikes' with CVS Pharmacy" may have existed, they are contained in Caremark's production of files from the Industry Analytics Share Drive. As previously stated in our letter to the Court dated June 29, 2021, CVS-related documents from the Industry Analytics Share Drive were produced within the following Bates Ranges: CVS-BEHNKE-1813533 through
Annual reconciliation "look-alikes" with CVS		1814149, CVS-BEHNKE-1814657 through 1814666,
Pharmacy	Missing 2010-2012, 2013-2016	and CVS-BEHNKE-1814695 through 1814703.

## 

reconciliation, not a Full Year reconciliation)	during the meet-and-confer process, we do not believe any reconciliation with Walgreens ever existed for Q1/Q2 2010.
	Relator's Exhibit 1 to the July 28, 2021 discovery hearing does not identify any documents to be allegedly "missing" in connection with this item, and therefore no response is required. Furthermore, we note that in the Court's order dated July 28, 2021, the Court denied Relator's request to compel production of commercial claims data.
	Relator's Exhibit 1 to the July 28, 2021 discovery hearing does not identify any documents to be allegedly "missing" in connection with this item, and therefore no response is required. Furthermore, to the extent Relator claims to have requested "CVS financial data," that phrase is too broad and ambiguous to permit any specific response by Caremark.
r	•